

## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Kimio NAGASAKA et al. Group Art Unit: 2874

Application No.: 10/601,583 Examiner: J. DOAN

Filed: June 24, 2003 Docket No.: 116034

For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING OPTICAL TRANSCEIVER AND ADJUSTMENT

**DEVICE THEREOF** 

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the March 9, 2005 Office Action, reconsideration is requested based on the following remarks.

Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 6-13 are withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner indicating that claims 4, 5, 15, 16, 19 and 20 include allowable subject matter. However, for at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants respectfully submit that all claims are in condition for allowance.

## I. The Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter.

Claims 1-3, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,278,929 to Tanisawa et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,295,212 to Morton et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The applied art does not teach, disclose or suggest mounting an optical head to photograph the assembling object in the fitting hole of the optical socket, as claimed in claim